



Docket No.: 58418CIP(48497)

(PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Henrik Stender

Application No.: 10/821,805

Filed: April 8, 2004

For: PEPTIDE NUCLEIC ACID PROBES FOR DETECTION, IDENTIFICATION AND/OR QUANTITATION OF PSEUDOMONAS

(SENSU STRICTO)

Confirmation No.: 9064

Art Unit: 1634

Examiner: D. B. Johannsen

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed September 25, 2006 (Paper No. 1), applicant hereby provisionally elects claims for continued examination, with traverse.

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed September 25, 2006, Applicant hereby provisionally elects claims of Group I, Claims 1-12 and 15-31, for continued examination, with traverse.

First, the subject matter of the groups relates to a single inventive concept for which a single patent should issue. The pending claims represent an intricate web of knowledge, continuity of effort, and consequences, which merit examination of all of these claims in a single application. More particularly, a single, searchable, unifying aspect links all of the claims. This single, searchable, unifying aspect relates to PNA probes and methods of use and incorporation thereof in kits. Second, Applicants

Application No.: 10/821,805 2 Docket No.: 58418CIP(48497)

submit that a sufficient search and examination with respect to the subject matter of all claims can be made without serious burden. As the M.P.E.P. states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions. M.P.E.P. § 803 (8th ed., Rev. No. 2, May 2004).

That is, even if the above-enumerated groups of claims are drawn to distinct inventions, the Examiner must still examine the entire application on the merits because doing so will not result in a serious burden. This is especially true in light of the robust and extensive computerized search engines and databases at the Examiner's disposal. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the restriction requirement be withdrawn, and that each of claims 1-31 presently pending in this application be examined.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the fees filed, asserted to be filed or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in this application by this firm) to our Deposit Account No. 04-1105, under Order No. 58418CIP(48497).

Dated: October 23, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Stephana E. Patton

Registration No.: 50,373

**EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE** 

LLP

P.O. Box 55874

Boston, Massachusetts 02205

(617) 439-4444

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

Mcation No. (if known): 10/821,805

Attorney Docket No.: 58418CIP(48497)

## **Certificate of Express Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.10**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV 892896490 US in an envelope addressed to:

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on	October 23, 2006					
	Date					

Synn M. Haules						
Signature	<del></del>					
Lynne M. Hawkes						
Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate						
	(617) 439-4444					
Registration Number, if applicable	Telephone Number					

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Tranmittal Form (1 page)

Response to Restriction Requirement (with Traverse) (2 pages)

Return Receipt Postcard

PTO/SB/21 (09-06)
Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
e Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## **TRANSMITTAL FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

**Application Number** 10/821,805-Conf. #9064 Filing Date April 8, 2004 First Named Inventor Henrik Stender Art Unit 1634 **Examiner Name** D. B. Johannsen Attorney Docket Number 58418CIP(48497)

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)						
Fee Transi	mittal Form	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC		
Fee	Attached	Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
X Amendment/Reply		Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Repty Brief)		
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence		Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		X Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund		Certificate Of Express Mail Return Receipt Postcard		
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)		•		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Landscape Table on	CD			
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Remarks				
Reply to Missing Parts under						
	SIGNATI	URE OF APPLICANT, ATTOR	NEY, OR	AGENT		
Firm Name	EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP					
Signature SMWHO						
Printed name	Stephana E. Patton					
Date	October 23, 2006		Reg. No.	50,373		